



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/036,658	12/21/2001	Michael Brian Bonn	1777/39149 3742		
7590 04/04/2005			EXAMINER		
Trexler, Bushnell, Giangiorgi,			ELAHEE, MD S		
Blackstone & N 36th Floor	Marr, Ltd.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
105 West Adams Street			2645		
Chicago, IL 60603			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10	/036,658	BONN ET AL.	
Ex	aminer	Art Unit	
Mo	d S Elahee	2645	

Defens Alex Fillian of an Americal Duich						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Md S Elahee	2645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>02/17/2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, wal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR ereply must be filed within one of t	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• •	100/-1 - 11/-				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` <i>''</i>					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).		•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prothe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19 and 20.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed. <u>19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>nc</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
O. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			nce because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13.		TAN TO AND				
	CLIDEDVICA	PAN 15ANG DRY PATENT EXAMIN	IED			
	SUFERVIOL	JIN FAICHT CAANIN	CL			

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1, 11 and 21, the proposed limitation, "simultaneously" is new issue and fails to recite in the original claims.